

IND AS 1

Illustration 1 – (MTP – April, 2021)

Entity A has undertaken various transactions in the financial year ended March 31, 20X1. Identify and present the transactions in the financial statements as per Ind AS 1.

Remeasurement of defined benefit plans	2,57,000
Current service cost	1,75,000
Changes in revaluation surplus	1,25,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign currency	75,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a foreign operation	65,000
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	1,00,000
Income tax expense	35,000
Share based payments cost	3,35,000

(4 Marks)

Solution

Items impacting the Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (₹)

Current service cost	1,75,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the monetary assets in foreign currency	75,000
Income tax expense	35,000
Share based payments cost	3,35,000

Items impacting the other comprehensive income for the year ended 31st March, 20X1 (₹)

Remeasurement of defined benefit plans	2,57,000
Changes in revaluation surplus	1,25,000
Gains and losses arising from translating the financial statements of a foreign operation	65,000
Gains and losses from investments in equity instruments designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	1,00,000

IND AS 16

Illustration 2

Mr. X, is the financial controller of ABC Ltd., a listed entity which prepares consolidated financial statements in accordance with Ind AS. Mr. X has recently produced the final draft of the financial statements of ABC Ltd. for the year ended 31st March, 2018 to the managing director Mr. Y for approval. Mr. Y, who is not an accountant, had raised following query from Mr. X after going through the draft financial statements:-

The notes to the financial statements state that plant and equipment is held under the 'cost model'. However, property which is owner occupied is revalued annually to fair value. Changes in fair value are sometimes reported in profit or loss but usually in 'other comprehensive income'. Also, the amount of depreciation charged on plant and equipment as a percentage of its carrying amount is much higher than for owner occupied property. Another note states that property owned by ABC Ltd. but rent out to others is depreciated annually and not fair valued. Mr. Y is of the opinion that there is no consistent treatment of PPE items in the accounts. How should the finance controller respond to the query from the managing director?

Solution:

Ongoing through the query raised by the Managing Director Mr. Y, the financial controller Mr. X explained the notes and reasons for their disclosures as follows:

The accounting treatment of the majority of tangible non-current assets is governed by Ind AS 16 'Property, Plant and Equipment'. Ind AS 16 states that the accounting treatment of PPE is determined on a class by class basis. For this purpose, property and plant would be regarded as separate classes. Ind AS 16 requires that PPE is measured using either the cost model or the revaluation model. This model is applied on a class by class basis and must be applied consistently within a class. Ind AS 16 states that when the revaluation model applies, surpluses are recorded in other comprehensive income, unless they are cancelling out a deficit which has previously been reported in profit or loss, in which case it is reported in profit or loss. Where the revaluation results in a deficit, then such deficits are reported in profit or loss, unless they are cancelling out a surplus which has previously been reported in other comprehensive income, in which case they are reported in other comprehensive income.

According to Ind AS 16, all assets having a finite useful life should be depreciated over that life. Where property is concerned, the only depreciable element of the property is the buildings element, since land normally has an indefinite life. The estimated useful life of a building tends to be much longer than for plant. These two reasons together explain why the depreciation charge of a property as a percentage of its carrying amount tends to be much lower than for plant.

Properties which are held for investment purposes are not accounted for under Ind AS 16, but under Ind AS 40 'Investment Property'. As per Ind AS 40, investment properties should be accounted for under a cost model. ABC Ltd. had applied the cost model and thus our investment properties are treated differently from the owner occupied property.

Question 1 - (MTP - AUG 2018)

Great Ltd., acquired a machine on 1st April, 2012 for Rs. 7 crore that had an estimated useful life of 7 years. The machine is depreciated on straight line basis and does not carry any residual value. On 1st April, 2016, the carrying value of the machine was reassessed at Rs. 5.10 crore and the surplus arising out of the revaluation being credited to revaluation reserve. For the year ended March 2018, conditions indicating an impairment of the machine existed and the amount recoverable ascertained to be only Rs. 79 lakhs.

Calculate the loss on impairment of the machine and show how this loss is to be treated in the books of Great Ltd. Great Ltd., had followed the policy of writing down the revaluation surplus by the increased charge of depreciation resulting from the revaluation.

SOLUTION:**Statement Showing Impairment Loss**

<i>(Rs. in crores)</i>	
Carrying amount of the machine as on 1 st April, 2012	7.00
Depreciation for 4 years i.e. 2012-13 to 2015-16 (7 crores x 4yrs / 7yrs)	<u>(4.00)</u>
Carrying amount as on 31.03.2016	3.00
Add: Upward Revaluation (credited to Revaluation Reserve account)	<u>2.10</u>
Carrying amount of the machine as on 1 st April 2016 (revalued)	5.10
Less: Depreciation for 2 years i.e. 2016-17 to 2017-18 (5.10 crores x 2yrs / 3yrs)	<u>(3.40)</u>
Carrying amount as on 31.03.2018	1.70
Less: Recoverable amount	<u>(0.79)</u>
Impairment loss	0.91
Less: Balance in revaluation reserve as on 31.03.2018:	
Balance in revaluation reserve as on 31.03.2016	2.10
Less: Enhanced depreciation met from revaluation reserve	
2016-2017 & 2017-2018 = [(1.70 – 1.00) x 2 years]	<u>(1.40)</u>
Impairment loss set off against revaluation reserve balance as per IND AS 36 "Impairment of Assets"	<u>(0.70)</u>
Impairment Loss to be debited to profit and loss account	<u>0.21</u>

IND AS 36

9. NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS (NCI) - THE IMPACT ON GOODWILL IMPAIRMENT TESTING

- ☞ Under Ind AS 103 'Business Combinations' an entity has a choice between two methods:
 - i) Goodwill attributable to NCI is not recognised in the parent's CFS as the NCI is stated at its Proportion of Fair value of identifiable net assets of the acquiree (NCI @ PSNA Method).
 - ii) The NCI is measured at its acquisition-date fair value, which means that NCI's share of goodwill will also be recognised (NCI @ Fair Value Method).
- ☞ Under method (i) above the carrying amount of that CGU comprises:
 - a) both the parent's interest and the non-controlling interest in the identifiable net assets of the CGU; and
 - b) the parent's interest in goodwill.
- ☞ If an entity measures NCI as PSNA Method, goodwill attributable to NCI is included in the recoverable amount of the related CGU but is not recognised in the parent's CFS.
- ☞ As a consequence, an entity shall gross up the carrying amount of goodwill allocated to the unit to include the goodwill attributable to NCI. This adjusted carrying amount is then compared with the recoverable amount of the unit to determine whether the cash generating unit is impaired.
- ☞ Below is the guidance given on the allocation of impairment losses:
 - a) If a subsidiary, or part of a subsidiary, with NCI is itself a CGU, the impairment loss is allocated between parent and NCI on same basis as that on which profit or loss is allocated (i.e. in proportion of their stake)
 - b) If it is part of a larger CGU, goodwill impairment losses are allocated to parts of the CGU that have a NCI and the parts that do not on the following basis:
 - i) 1st allocate impairment to goodwill in CGU; and
 - ii) Then, allocate impairment to identifiable assets in the CGU. (Any such impairment is allocated to the assets on pro-rata basis of the carrying amount of each asset)

In those parts that have NCI, the impairment loss is allocated between the parent and NCI on the same basis as that on which profit or loss is allocated.
- ☞ If an impairment loss attributable to NCI relates to goodwill that is not recognised in the parent's CFS, only the impairment loss relating to the goodwill that is allocated to the parent is recognised as a goodwill impairment loss.

Illustration 3

Mercury Ltd has an identifiable asset with a carrying amount of ₹1,000. Its recoverable amount is ₹650. The tax rate is 30% and the tax base of the asset is ₹800. Impairment losses are not deductible for tax purposes. What would be the impact of impairment loss on related deferred tax asset / liability against the revised carrying amount of asset?

Solution

The effect of the impairment loss is as follows:

	Identifiable assets before impairment loss	Impairment loss	Identifiable assets after impairment loss
	₹	₹	₹
Carrying amount	1,000	(350)	650
Tax Base	800	-	800
Taxable (deductible) temporary difference	200	(350)	(150)
Deferred tax liability (asset) at 30%	60	(105)	(45)

In accordance with IND AS 12, the entity recognises the deferred tax asset to the extent that it is probable that taxable profit will be available against which the deductible temporary difference can be utilized.

Illustration 4

A Ltd acquires 80% shares of a subsidiary B Ltd. for ₹ 3,200 thousand. At the date of acquisition, B Ltd.'s identifiable net assets is ₹ 3,000 thousand. A elects to measure NCI at proportionate share of net identifiable assets. It recognizes

	₹ in thousand
Purchase Consideration	3,200
NCI (3,000 x 20%)	<u>600</u>
	3,800
Less: Net Assets	<u>(3,000)</u>
Goodwill	<u>800</u>

At the end of next financial year, B Ltd.'s carrying amount is reduced to ₹ 2,700 thousand (excluding goodwill).

Recoverable amount of B Ltd.'s assets is

Case (i) ₹ 2,000 thousand,

Case (ii) ₹ 2,800 thousand

Calculate impairment loss allocable to Parent and NCI in both the cases.

Solution:**Case (i)**

₹ in thousand

Particulars	Goodwill	Other Asset	Total
Carrying amount	800	2,700	3,500
Unrecognised NCI (notional) [(800 / 80%) x 20%]	<u>200</u>	-	<u>200</u>

Notional Total	1,000	2,700	3,700
Recoverable amount	-	-	2,000
Total Impairment loss	-	-	(1,700)
Impairment loss recognised in CFS	(800)	(700)	(1,500)
Carrying amount after impairment	-	2,000	2,000

Impairment loss on:	Parent	NCI
Goodwill	(800)	-
Other assets	(560)	(140)
Total	(1,360)	(140)

Case (ii)

Particulars	Goodwill	Other Asset	Total
Carrying amount	800	2,700	3,500
Unrecognised NCI (notional) (800 / 80% x 20%)	200	-	200
Notional Total	1,000	2,700	3,700
Recoverable amount	-	-	2,800
Total Impairment loss	-	-	(900)
Impairment loss recognised in CFS (900 x 80%)	(720)	-	(720)
Carrying amount after impairment (800 – 720)	80	2,700	2,780

Impairment loss on:	Parent	NCI
Goodwill	(720)	-
Other assets	-	-
Total	(720)	-

It is to be noted that since an entity measures NCI at its proportionate interest in the net identifiable assets of a subsidiary at the acquisition date, rather than at fair value, goodwill attributable to NCI is not recognised in the parent's consolidated financial statements and so the impairment loss on such goodwill not recognised.

Illustration 5

Sun Ltd is an entity with various subsidiaries. The entity closes its books of account at every year ended on 31st March. On 1st July, 20X1 Sun Ltd acquired an 80% interest in Pluto Ltd. Details of the acquisition were as follows:

- Sun Ltd acquired 800,000 shares in Pluto Ltd by issuing two equity shares for every five acquired. The fair value of Sun Ltd's share on 1st July, 20X1 was ₹4 per share and the fair value of a Pluto's share was ₹1.40 per share. The costs of issue were 5% per share.
- Sun Ltd incurred further legal and professional costs of ₹ 100,000 that directly related to the acquisition.
- The fair values of the identifiable net assets of Pluto Ltd at 1st July, 20X1 were measured at ₹1.3 million. Sun Ltd initially measured the non-controlling interest in Pluto Ltd at fair value. They used the market value of a Pluto Ltd share for this purpose. No impairment of goodwill arising on the acquisition of Pluto Ltd was required at 31st March, 20X2 or 20X3.

Pluto Ltd comprises three cash generating units A, B and C. When Pluto Ltd was acquired the directors of Sun Ltd estimated that the goodwill arising on acquisition could reasonably be allocated to units A:B:C on a 2:2:1 basis. The carrying values of the assets in these cash generating units and their recoverable amounts are as follows:

Unit	Carrying value (before goodwill allocation)	Recoverable amount
	₹ '000	₹ '000
A	600	740
B	550	650
C	450	400

Required:

- (i) Compute the carrying value of the goodwill arising on acquisition of Pluto Ltd in the consolidated Balance Sheet of Sun Ltd at 31st March, 20X4 following the impairment review.
- (ii) Compute the total impairment loss arising as a result of the impairment review, identifying how much of this loss would be allocated to the non-controlling interests in Pluto Ltd.

Solution**1. Computation of goodwill on acquisition**

Particulars	Amount (₹ '000)
Cost of investment (8,00,000 x 2/5 x ₹ 4)	1,280
Fair value of non-controlling interest (2,00,000 x ₹ 1.4)	280
Fair value of identifiable net assets at date of acquisition	(1,300)
So goodwill equals	<u>260</u>

Acquisition costs are not included as part of the fair value of the consideration given under IND AS 103, Business Combination.

2. Calculation of impairment loss

Unit	Carrying value			Recoverable Amount	Impairment Loss
	Before Allocation	Allocation of goodwill (2:2:1)	After Allocation		
A	600	104	704	740	Nil
B	550	104	654	650	4
C	400*	52	452	400	52

* After writing down assets in the individual CGU to recoverable amount.

3. Calculation of closing goodwill

Goodwill arising on acquisition (W1)	260
Impairment loss (W2)	(56)
So closing goodwill equals	204

4. Calculation of overall impairment loss

on goodwill (W3)	56
------------------	----

on assets in unit C (450 – 400)	<u>50</u>
So total loss equals	<u>106</u>

₹21.2 (20%) of the above is allocated to the NCI with the balance allocated to the shareholders of Sun Ltd.

Illustration 6

Parent acquires an 80% ownership interest in Subsidiary for ₹ 2,100 on April 1, 20X1. At that date, Subsidiary's net identifiable assets have a fair value of ₹ 1,500. Parent chooses to measure the non-controlling interests as the proportionate interest of Subsidiary's net identifiable assets. The assets of Subsidiary together are the smallest group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. Because other cash-generating units of Parent are expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination, the goodwill of ₹500 related to those synergies has been allocated to other cash-generating units within Parent. On March 31, 20X2, Parent determines that there coverable amount of cash-generating unit Subsidiary is ₹1,000. The carrying amount of the net assets of Subsidiary, excluding goodwill, is ₹1,350. Allocate the impairment loss on March 31, 20X2.

Solution

Non-controlling interests is measured as the proportionate interest of Subsidiary's net identifiable assets, i.e., ₹300 (20% of ₹1,500). Goodwill is the difference between the aggregate of the consideration transferred and the amount of the non-controlling interests (₹2,100+₹300) and the net identifiable assets (₹1,500), i.e., ₹900.

Since, the assets of Subsidiary together are the smallest group of assets that generate cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets, therefore, Subsidiary is a cash-generating unit. Because other cash-generating units of Parent are expected to benefit from the synergies of the combination, the goodwill of ₹500 related to those synergies has been allocated to other cash-generating units within Parent. Because the cash-generating unit comprising Subsidiary includes goodwill within its carrying amount, it should be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if there is an indication that it may be impaired.

Testing Subsidiary (cash-generating unit) for impairment

Goodwill attributable to non-controlling interests is included in Subsidiary's recoverable amount of ₹1,000 but has not been recognised in Parent's consolidated financial statements. Therefore, the carrying amount of Subsidiary should be grossed up to include goodwill attributable to the non-controlling interests, before being compared with the recoverable amount of ₹1,000. Goodwill attributable to Parent's 80% interest in Subsidiary at the acquisition date is ₹400 after allocating ₹500 to other cash-generating units within Parent. Therefore, goodwill attributable to the 20% non-controlling interests in Subsidiary at the acquisition date is ₹100.

Testing subsidiary for impairment on March 31, 20X2

On March 31, 20X2	Goodwill of subsidiary (₹)	Net identifiable assets (₹)	Total (₹)
Carrying amount	400	1,350	1,750
Unrecognised non-controlling interests	<u>100</u>	-	<u>100</u>
Adjusted carrying amount	<u>500</u>	<u>1,350</u>	<u>1,850</u>
Recoverable amount			<u>1,000</u>
Impairment loss			<u>850</u>

Allocating the impairment loss

The impairment loss of ₹850 should be allocated to the assets in the unit by first reducing the carrying amount of goodwill.

Therefore, ₹500 of the ₹850 impairment loss for the unit is allocated to the goodwill. If the partially-owned subsidiary is itself a cash-generating unit, the goodwill impairment loss should be allocated to the controlling and non-controlling interests on the same basis as that on which profit or loss is allocated. In this case, profit or loss is allocated on the basis of relative ownership interests. Because the goodwill is recognised only to the extent of Parent's 80% ownership interest in Subsidiary, Parent recognises only 80% of that goodwill impairment loss (i.e., ₹400).

The remaining impairment loss of ₹350 is recognised by reducing the carrying amounts of Subsidiary's identifiable assets.

Allocation of the impairment loss for Subsidiary on March 31, 20X2

On March 31, 20X2	Goodwill of subsidiary (₹)	Net identifiable assets (₹)	Total (₹)
Carrying amount	400	1,350	1,750
Impairment loss	(400)	(350)	(750)
Carrying amount after impairment loss	-	1,000	1,000

Question 2 - (RTP - May 2021)

On 31 March 20X1, Vision Ltd acquired 80% of the equity shares of Mission Ltd for ₹ 190 million. The fair values of the net assets of Mission Ltd that were included in the consolidated statement of financial position of Vision Ltd at 31 March 20X1 were ₹ 200 million. It is the Group's policy to value the non-controlling interest in subsidiaries at the date of acquisition at its proportionate share of the fair value of the subsidiaries' identifiable net assets.

On 31 March 20X4, Vision Ltd carried out its annual review of the goodwill on consolidation of Mission Ltd and found evidence of impairment. No impairment had been evident when the reviews were carried out at 31 March 20X2 and 31 March 20X3. The review involved allocating the assets of Mission Ltd into three cash-generating units and computing the value in use of each unit. The carrying values of the individual units before any impairment adjustments are given below:

	Unit A ₹ in million	Unit B ₹ in million	Unit C ₹ in million
Intangible assets	30	10	-
Property, Plant and Equipment	80	50	60
Current Assets	<u>60</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>40</u>
Total	<u>170</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>100</u>
Value in use of unit	180	66	104

It was not possible to meaningfully allocate the goodwill on consolidation to the individual cash generating units but all the other net assets of Mission Ltd are allocated in the table shown above.

The intangible assets of Mission Ltd have no ascertainable market value but all the current assets have a market value that is at least equal to their carrying value. The value in use of Mission Ltd as a single cash-generating unit on 31 March 20X4 is ₹ 350 million.

Discuss and compute the accounting treatment of impairment of goodwill as per Ind AS 36?

Solution:

The goodwill on consolidation of Mission Ltd that is recognized in the consolidated balance sheet of Vision Ltd is ₹ 30 million (₹ 190 million – 80% x ₹ 200 million). This can only be reviewed for impairment as part of the cash generating units to which it relates. Since here the goodwill cannot be meaningfully allocated to the units, the impairment review is in two parts.

Units A and C have values in use that are more than their carrying values. However, the value in use of Unit B is less than its carrying amount. This means that the assets of unit B are impaired by ₹ 24 million (₹ 90 million – ₹ 66 million). This impairment loss will be charged to the statement of profit and loss.

Assets of Unit B will be written down on a pro-rata basis as shown in the table below: (₹ in million)

Asset	Impact on carrying value		
	Existing	Impairment	Revised
Intangible assets	10	(4)	6
Property, plant and equipment	50	(20)	30
Current assets	30	Nil*	30
Total	90	(24)	66

* The current assets are not impaired because they are expected to realize at least their carrying value when disposed of.

Following this review, the three units plus the goodwill are reviewed together i.e. treating Mission Limited as single cash generating Unit. The impact of this is shown in the following table, given that the recoverable amount of the business as a whole is ₹ 350 million: (₹ in million)

Component	Impact of impairment review on carrying value		
	Existing	Impairment	Revised
Goodwill (see note below)	37.50	(23.50)	14.00
Unit A	170.00	Nil	170.00
Unit B (revised)	66.00	Nil	66.00
Unit C	<u>100.00</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>100.00</u>
Total	<u>373.50</u>	<u>(23.50)</u>	<u>350.00</u>

Note: As per Appendix C of Ind AS 36, given that the subsidiary is 80% owned the goodwill must first be grossed up to reflect a notional 100% investment. Therefore, the goodwill will be grossed up to ₹ 37.50 million (₹ 30 million x 100/80).

The impairment loss of ₹ 23.50 million is all allocated to goodwill, leaving the carrying values of the individual units of the business as shown in the table immediately above.

The table shows that the notional goodwill that relates to a 100% interest is written down by ₹ 23.50 million to ₹ 14.00 million. However, in the consolidated financial statements the goodwill that is recognized is based on an 80% interest so the loss that is actually recognized is ₹ 18.80 million (₹ 23.50 million x 80%) and the closing consolidated goodwill figure is ₹ 11.20 million (₹ 14.00 million x 80%) or (₹ 30 million – ₹ 18.80 million).

IND AS 8

Illustration 7

Whether change in functional currency of an entity represents a change in accounting policy?

Solution:

Paragraph 16(a) of Ind AS 8 provides that the application of an accounting policy for transactions, other events or conditions that differ in substance from those previously occurring are not changes in accounting policies.

As per Ind AS 21, 'functional currency' is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates.

Paragraphs 9-12 of Ind AS 21 list factors to be considered by an entity in determining its functional currency. It is recognised that there may be cases where the functional currency is not obvious. In such cases, Ind AS 21 requires the management to use its judgement to determine the functional currency that most faithfully represents the economic effects of the underlying transactions, events and conditions.

Paragraph 13 of Ind AS 21 specifically notes that an entity's functional currency reflects the underlying transactions, events and conditions that are relevant to it. Accordingly, once determined, the functional currency is not changed unless there is a change in those underlying transactions, events and conditions. Thus, functional currency of an entity is not a matter of an accounting policy choice.

In view of the above, a change in functional currency of an entity does not represent a change in accounting policy and Ind AS 8, therefore, does not apply to such a change. Ind AS 21 requires that when there is a change in an entity's functional currency, the entity shall apply the translation procedures applicable to the new functional currency prospectively from the date of the change.

Question 3 - (MTP - May 2020)

During the year ended 31st March, 20X2, Blue Ocean group changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply components approach fully, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model.

In years before 20X1-20X2, Blue Ocean group's asset records were not sufficiently detailed to apply a components approach fully. At the end of 31st March, 20X1, management commissioned an engineering survey, which provided information on the components held and their fair values, useful lives, estimated residual values and depreciable amounts at the beginning of 20X1-20X2.

The results are shown as under:

Property, plant and equipment at the end of 31st March, 20X1

	Rs.
Cost	25,000
Depreciation	<u>(14,000)</u>
Net book value	<u>11,000</u>

Depreciation expense for 20X1-20X2 (on old basis)	1,500
Some results of the engineering survey:	
Valuation	17,000
Estimated residual value	3,000
Average remaining asset life (years)	7

However, the survey did not provide a sufficient basis for reliably estimating the cost of those components that had not previously been accounted for separately, and the existing records before the survey did not permit this information to be reconstructed.

The board of directors considered how to account for each of the two aspects of the accounting change. They determined that it was not practicable to account for the change to a fuller components approach retrospectively, or to account for that change prospectively from any earlier date than the start of 20X1-20X2.

Also, the change from a cost model to a revaluation model is required to be accounted for prospectively. Therefore, management concluded that it should apply Blue Ocean group's new policy prospectively from the start of 20X1-20X2.

Blue Ocean group's tax rate is 30 per cent.

Compute the impact of change in accounting policy related to change in carrying amount of Property, Plant & Equipment under revaluation method and impact on taxes based on the basis of information provided. Show the impact of each item affected on financial statements by the analysis of stated issue. **(6 Marks)**

Solution:

As per Ind AS 8 'Accounting Policies, Accounting Estimates and Errors, prospective application of a change in accounting policy has to be done since retrospective application is not practicable.

Property, plant and equipment at the end of 31st March, 20X2:

As per the engineering survey:

Valuation of PPE 17,000

Estimated residual value 3,000

Average remaining asset life (years) 7

Depreciation expense on existing property, plant and equipment

for 20X1-20X2 (new basis) $(17,000 - 3,000)/7$ 2,000

From the start of 20X1-20X2, Blue Ocean group changed its accounting policy for depreciating property, plant and equipment, so as to apply components approach, whilst at the same time adopting the revaluation model. Management takes the view that this policy provides reliable and more relevant information because it deals more accurately with the components of property, plant and equipment and is based on up-to-date values.

The policy has been applied prospectively from the start of the year 20X1-20X2 because it was not practicable to estimate the effects of applying the policy either retrospectively or prospectively from any earlier date. Accordingly, the adoption of the new policy has no effect on prior years.

The impact on the financial statements for 20X1-20X2 would be as under:

Particulars	Rs.
Increase the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment at the start of the year (17,000-11,000)	6,000
Increase the opening deferred tax provision (6,000 x 30%)	1,800
Create a revaluation surplus at the start of the year (6,000 – 1,800)	4,200
Increase depreciation expense by (Rs.2,000 – Rs.1,500)	500
Reduce tax expense on depreciation (30%)	150

IND AS 37

Question 4 - (RTP - Nov 2020)

In order to encourage companies and organisations to generously contribute to the Government's COVID-19 relief fund, taxation laws have been amended to reckon these contributions as deductible for the financial year ending 31st March, 2020 even if the contributions are made after the year end but within three months after year end. Government of India issued the notification on 31st March, 2020 by way of an Ordinance. Such contributions to COVID-19 funds are considered for compliance with annual spends on corporate social responsibility (CSR) for the current accounting year under the Companies Act, 2013. In this scenario, whether the contributions to COVID-19 Relief Funds made subsequent to reporting date of the current accounting period can be provided for as expenses of the current accounting period? Also show its impact on deferred tax, if any.

Solution:

According to paragraph 14 of Ind AS 37, a provision shall be made if:

- an entity has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event;
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. If these conditions are not met as of reporting date, no provision shall be recognised for that financial year.

Government of India issued the notification on 31st March, 2020 by way of an Ordinance and hence, it is most unlikely for any entity to have a present obligation on 31st March, 2020, for such a commitment. As these conditions are not met as of reporting date of financial year 2019 - 2020, no provision should be recognised in the financial statements for that financial year.

In the fact pattern given above, the accounting implications for the financial year 2019-2020 is as follows:

- Do not recognize expense / liability for the contribution to be made subsequent to the year ended 31st March, 2020 as it does not meet the criteria of a present obligation as at the balance sheet date. However, the expected spend may be explained in the notes to the accounts as the same will also be considered in measurement of deferred tax liability.
- If the entity claims a deduction in the Income Tax return for the financial year 2019 - 2020 for that contribution made subsequent to 31st March, 2020, recognise Deferred Tax Liability as there would be a tax saving in financial year 2019 - 2020 for a spend incurred in subsequent year.

Question 5 – (RTP – May 2021)

A manufacturer gives warranties to the purchasers of its goods. Under the terms of the warranty, the manufacturer undertakes to make good, by repair or replacement, manufacturing defects that become apparent within three years from the date of sale to the purchasers.

On 30 April 20X1, a manufacturing defect was detected in the goods manufactured by the entity between 1 March 20X1 and 30 April 20X1.

At 31 March 20X1 (the entity's reporting date), the entity held approximately one week's sales in inventories. The entity's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 20X1 have not yet been finalised.

Three separate categories of goods require separate consideration:

Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1

Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1

Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2

State the accounting treatment of the above categories in accordance with relevant Ind AS.

Solution:

Category 1—defective goods sold on or before 31 March 20X1

If customer has the option to purchase warranty separately, the warranty is a distinct service because the entity promises to provide the service to the customer in addition to the product that has the functionality described in the contract. In that case, entity shall account for the promised warranty as a performance obligation and allocate a portion of the transaction price to that performance obligation.

If a customer does not have the option to purchase a warranty separately, an entity shall account for the warranty in accordance with Ind AS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets, unless it provides the customer with a service in addition to the assurance that the product complies with agreed-upon specifications. If that is the case, then, the promised service is a performance obligation. Entity shall allocate the transaction price to the product and the service.

If an entity promises both an assurance-type warranty and a service-type warranty but cannot reasonably account for them separately, the entity shall account for both of the warranties together as a single performance obligation.

A law that requires an entity to pay compensation if its products cause harm or damage does not give rise to a performance obligation. The entity shall account for such obligations in accordance with Ind AS 37.

Category 2—defective goods held on 31 March 20X1

At 31 March 20X1, the entity did not have a present obligation to make good the unsold defective goods that it held in inventories. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective inventories.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is an adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events after the End of the Reporting Period. It provides evidence of a manufacturing defect in inventories held at 31 March 20X1.

Category 3—defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2

At 31 March 20X1 the entity did not have a present obligation to make good any defective goods that it might manufacture in the future. Accordingly, at 31 March 20X1 the entity should not recognise a provision in respect of the defective goods manufactured in 20X1-20X2.

For this category, the detection of the manufacturing defect in April 20X1 is a non- adjusting event after the end of the reporting period as per Ind AS 10, Events After the End of the Reporting Period.

IND AS 12

Illustration 8

On 1st April 20X1, S Ltd. leased a machine over a 5 year period. The present value of lease liability is ₹ 120 Cr (discount rate of 8%) and is recognized as lease liability and corresponding Right of Use (RoU) Asset on the same date. The RoU Asset is depreciated under straight line method over the 5 years. The annual lease rentals are ₹ 30 Cr payable starting 31st March 20X2. The tax law permits tax deduction on the basis of payment of rent.

Assuming tax rate of 30%, you are required to explain the deferred tax consequences for the above transaction for the year ended 31st March 20X2.

Solution:

A temporary difference effectively arises between the value of the machine for accounting purposes and the amount of lease liability, since the rent payment is eligible for tax deduction.

Tax base of the machine is nil as the amount is not eligible for deduction for tax purposes.

Tax base of the lease liability is nil as it is measured at carrying amount less any future tax deductible amount

Recognition of deferred tax on 31st March 20X2:

Carrying amount in balance sheet

RoU Asset (120 Cr – 24 Cr (Depreciation))	₹ 96.00 Dr
Lease Liability (120 Cr + 9.60 Cr (120 Cr x 8%) - 30 Cr)	<u>₹ 99.60 Cr</u>
Net Amount	<u>₹ 3.60 Cr</u>
Tax Base	₹ 0.00 Cr
Temporary Difference (deductible)	₹ 3.60 Cr
Deferred Tax asset to be recognized (₹ 3.60 Cr x 30%)	₹ 1.08 Cr

Question 6 (Past Exam – Nov 2020)

Note: This question is already there in module (Illustration 16 of this book) but it has been again given here as in exam one extra working of Effective Interest Rate was asked.

Parent Limited, prepares consolidated financial statements of the group on 31 March every year. During the year ended 31 March 2020, the following events affected the tax position of the group:

- (i) S Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Parent Limited, incurred a loss of ₹ 20,00,000 which is adjustable from future taxable profits of the company for tax purposes. S Limited is unable to utilize this loss against previous tax liabilities. Income Tax Act does not allow S Limited to transfer the tax loss to other group companies. However, it allows S Limited to carry forward the loss and utilize it against company's future taxable profits. The directors of Parent Limited estimate that S Limited will not make any taxable profits in the foreseeable future.
- (ii) On 1 April 2019, Parent Limited borrowed ₹ 50,00,000. The cost incurred by Parent Limited for arranging the borrowing was ₹ 1,00,000 on the said date and this expenditure is qualified for deduction under the Income Tax Act for the accounting year 2019-2020. The loan was given for a three-year period. As per agreement, no principal or interest was payable on the loan during the tenure of loan but the amount repayable on 31 March 2022 will be by way of a bullet payment of ₹ 65,21,900. As per Parent Limited, this equates to an effective annual interest rate of 10% on loan. As per the Income-tax Act, a further expense of ₹ 15,21,900 will be claimable from taxable income till the loan is repaid on 31 March 2022.

The rate of corporate income tax to be assumed @ 20%.

Explain and show how each of these events would affect the deferred tax assets/liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet of Parent Limited as at 31 March 2020 as per applicable Ind AS.

You are also required to examine whether the effective rate of interest arrived at by Parent Limited for the loan of ₹ 50,00,000 is in accordance with applicable Ind AS or not?

6 Marks

Solution:

- (i) The tax loss creates a potential deferred tax asset for the group since its carrying value is nil and its tax base is ₹ 20,00,000.
However, no deferred tax asset can be recognised because there is no prospect of being able to reduce tax liabilities in the foreseeable future as no taxable profits are anticipated.
- (ii) The carrying value of the loan at 31 March 2020 is ₹ 53,90,000 (₹ 50,00,000 – ₹ 1,00,000 + (₹ 49,00,000 x 10%)).
The tax base of the loan is ₹ 50,00,000.

This creates a deductible temporary difference of ₹ 3,90,000 (₹ 53,90,000 – ₹ 50,00,000) and a potential deferred tax asset of ₹ 78,000 (₹ 3,90,000 x 20%).

If there are prospects of availability of taxable profits in future, deferred tax asset can be recognised.

Amortisation Table for verification of effective rate of interest

Year	Opening balance (₹) (A)	Interest @ 10% (₹) (B)	Closing balance (₹) (A) + (B)
1	(50,00,000 – 1,00,000) 49,00,000	4,90,000	53,90,000
2	53,90,000	5,39,000	59,29,000
3	59,29,000	5,92,900	65,21,900

Since the closing balance calculated as per the above table on the basis of 10% matches with the bullet payment of ₹ 65,21,900, it assures that 10% rate of interest taken as effective rate of interest is correct and is in accordance with Ind AS 109. It considers the impact of cost of borrowing adjusted from the loan amount at initial recognition.

IND AS 102

Illustration 9

Entity X acquired entity Y in a business combination as per Ind AS 103. There is an existing share-based plan in entity Y with a vesting condition for 3 years in which 2 years have already lapsed at the date of such business acquisition. Entity X agrees to replace the existing award for the employees of combined entity. The details are as below -

Acquisition date fair value of share-based payment plan	₹300
No. of years to vest after acquisition	1 year
Fair Value of award which replaces existing plan	₹400

Calculate the share-based payment values as per Ind AS 102?

Solution:

Pre-acquisition period = 2

Post-acquisition period = 1

Total fair value at acquisition date = ₹ 300

Value to be recorded as per business combination under Ind AS 103 = ₹ 300/3 x 2 = ₹ 200

Value to be recorded as per Ind AS 102 (A) = ₹ 300/3 x 1 = ₹ 100

Fair value of the replacement of such award = ₹ 400

Difference from acquisition date fair value (B) = ₹ 400 - ₹ 300 = ₹ 100

Total value to be accounted over vesting period as per Ind AS 102 = A + B = ₹ 100 + ₹ 100 = ₹ 200

IND AS 103

Illustration 10 - (MTP - Oct 2020)

(8 marks)

On 1st April, 20X1, PQR Ltd. acquired 30% of the voting ordinary shares of XYZ Ltd. for ₹8,000 crore. PQR Ltd. accounts its investment in XYZ Ltd. using equity method as prescribed under IND AS 28. At 31st March, 20X2, PQR Ltd. recognised its share of the net asset changes of XYZ Ltd. using equity accounting as follows:

	(₹ in crore)
Share of profit or loss	700
Share of exchange difference in OCI	100
Share of revaluation reserve of PPE in OCI	50

The carrying amount of the investment in the associate on 31st March, 20X2 was therefore ₹8,850 crore (8,000+700+100+50).

On 1st April, 20X2, PQR Ltd. acquired the remaining 70% of XYZ Ltd. for cash ₹25,000 crore. The following additional information is relevant at that date:

	(₹ in crore)
Fair value of the 30% interest already owned	9,000
Fair value of XYZ's identifiable net assets	30,000

How should such business combination be accounted for?

Solution:

Paragraph 42 of Ind AS 103 provides that in a business combination achieved in stages, the acquirer shall remeasure its previously held equity interest in the acquiree at its acquisition-date fair value and recognise the resulting gain or loss, if any, in profit or loss or other comprehensive income, as appropriate. In prior reporting periods, the acquirer may have recognized changes in the value of its equity interest in the acquiree in other comprehensive income. If so, the amount that was recognised in other comprehensive income shall be recognised on the same basis as would be required if the acquirer had disposed directly of the previously held equity interest.

Applying the above, PQR Ltd. records the following entry in its consolidated financial statements:

		(₹ in crore)	
		Debit	Credit
Identifiable net assets of XYZ Ltd.	Dr.	30,000	
Goodwill (W.N.1)	Dr.	4,000	
Foreign currency translation reserve	Dr.	100	
PPE revaluation reserve	Dr.	50	
To Cash			25,000
To Investment in associate -XYZ Ltd.			8,850
To Retained earnings (W.N.2)			50
To Gain on previously held interest in XYZ recognised in Profit or loss (W.N.3)			250
(To recognise acquisition of XYZ Ltd.)			

Working Notes:

1. Calculation of Goodwill

	₹ in crore
Cash consideration	25,000
Add: Fair value of previously held equity interest in XYZ Ltd.	<u>9,000</u>
Total consideration	34,000
Less: Fair value of identifiable net assets acquired	<u>(30,000)</u>
Goodwill	<u>4,000</u>

- The credit to retained earnings represents the reversal of the unrealized gain of ₹ 50 crore in Other Comprehensive Income related to the revaluation of property, plant and equipment. In accordance with Ind AS 16, this amount is not reclassified to profit or loss.
- The gain on the previously held equity interest in XYZ Ltd. is calculated as follows:

	₹ in crore
Fair Value of 30% interest in XYZ Ltd. at 1 st April, 20X2	9,000
Carrying amount of interest in XYZ Ltd. at 1 st April, 20X2	<u>(8,850)</u>
	150
Unrealised gain previously recognised in OCI	<u>100</u>
Gain on previously held interest in XYZ Ltd. recognised in profit or loss	<u>250</u>

Illustration 11 - Business Combination Achieved by Contract Alone

Sita Ltd and Beta Ltd decides to combine together for forming a Dual Listed Corporation (DLC). As per their shareholder's agreement, both the parties will retain original listing and Board of DLC will be comprised of 10 members out of which 6 members will be of Sita Ltd and remaining 4 board members will be of Beta Ltd.

The fair value of Sita Ltd is ₹ 100 crores and fair value of Beta Ltd is ₹ 80 crores. The fair value of net identifiable assets of Beta Limited is ₹ 70 crores. Assume non-controlling Interest (NCI) to be measured at fair value.

You are required to determine the goodwill to be recognised on acquisition.

Solution:

Sita Ltd has more Board members and thereby have majority control in DLC. Therefore, Sita Ltd is identified as acquirer and Beta Ltd as acquiree.

Since no consideration has been transferred, the goodwill needs to be calculated as the difference of Part A and Part B:

Part A:

- Consideration paid by Acquirer. - Nil
- Controlling Interest in Acquiree - ₹ 80 crores
- Acquirer's previously held interest - Nil

Part B:

Fair value of net identifiable asset – ₹ 70 crores

Goodwill is recognised as ₹ 10 crores (80 – 70 crores) in business combination achieved through contract alone when NCI is measured at fair value.

IND AS 110

Illustration 12

As at the beginning of its current financial year, AB Limited holds 90% equity interest in BC Limited. During the financial year, AB Limited sells 70% of its equity interest in BC Limited to PQR Limited for a total consideration of ₹ 56 crore and consequently loses control of BC Limited. At the date of disposal, fair value of the 20% interest retained by AB Limited is ₹ 16 crore and the net assets of BC Limited are fair valued at ₹ 60 crore.

These net assets include the following:

- Debt investments classified as fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI) of ₹ 12 crore and related FVOCI reserve of ₹ 6 crore.
- Net defined benefit liability of ₹ 6 crore that has resulted in a reserve relating to net measurement losses of ₹ 3 crore.
- Equity investments (considered not held for trading) of ₹ 10 crore for which irrevocable option of recognising the changes in fair value in FVOCI has been availed and related FVOCI reserve of ₹ 4 crore.
- Net assets of a foreign operation of ₹ 20 crore and related foreign currency translation reserve of ₹ 8 crore.

In consolidated financial statements of AB Limited, 90% of the above reserves were included in equivalent equity reserve balances, with the 10% attributable to the non-controlling interest included as part of the carrying amount of the non-controlling interest.

What would be the accounting treatment on loss of control in the consolidated financial statements of AB Limited?

Solution:

Paragraph 25 of Ind AS 110 states that if a parent loses control of a subsidiary, the parent:

- derecognises the assets and liabilities of the former subsidiary from the consolidated balance sheet.
- recognises any investment retained in the former subsidiary at its fair value when control is lost and subsequently accounts for it and for any amounts owed by or to the former subsidiary in accordance with relevant Ind ASs. That fair value shall be regarded as the fair value on initial recognition of a financial asset in accordance with Ind AS 109 or, when appropriate, the cost on initial recognition of an investment in an associate or joint venture.
- recognises the gain or loss associated with the loss of control attributable to the former controlling interest.”

Paragraph B98(c) of Ind AS 110 states that on loss of control over a subsidiary, a parent shall reclassify to profit or loss, or transfer directly to retained earnings if required by other Ind AS, the amounts recognised in other comprehensive income in relation to the subsidiary on the basis specified in paragraph B99.

As per paragraph B99, if a parent loses control of a subsidiary, the parent shall account for all amounts previously recognised in other comprehensive income in relation to that subsidiary on the same basis as would be required if the parent had directly disposed of the related assets or liabilities.

Therefore, if a gain or loss previously recognised in other comprehensive income would be reclassified to profit or loss on the disposal of the related assets or liabilities, the parent shall reclassify the gain or loss from equity to profit or loss (as a reclassification adjustment) when it loses control of the subsidiary. If a revaluation surplus previously recognised in other comprehensive income would be transferred directly to retained earnings on the disposal of the asset, the parent shall transfer the revaluation surplus directly to retained earnings when it loses control of the subsidiary.

In view of the basis in its consolidated financial statements, AB Limited shall:

- re-classify the FVOCI reserve in respect of the debt investments of ₹ 5.4 crore (90% of ₹ 6 crore) attributable to the owners of the parent to the statement of profit or loss in accordance with paragraph B5.7.1A of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments which requires that the cumulative gains or losses previously recognised in OCI shall be recycled to profit and loss upon derecognition of the related financial asset. This is reflected in the gain on disposal. Remaining 10% (i.e., ₹ 0.6 crore) relating to non-controlling interest (NCI) is included as part of the carrying amount of the non-controlling interest that is derecognised in calculating the gain or loss on loss of control of the subsidiary;
- transfer the reserve relating to the net measurement losses on the defined benefit liability of ₹ 2.7 crore (90% of ₹ 3 crore) attributable to the owners of the parent within equity to retained earnings. It is not reclassified to profit or loss. The remaining 10% (i.e., ₹ 0.3 crore) attributable to the NCI is included as part of the carrying amount of NCI that is derecognised in calculating the gain or loss on loss of control over the subsidiary. No amount is reclassified to profit or loss, nor is it transferred within equity, in respect of the 10% attributable to the non-controlling interest.
- reclassify the cumulative gain on fair valuation of equity investment of ₹ 3.6 crore (90% of ₹ 4 crore) attributable to the owners of the same parent from OCI to retained earnings under equity as per paragraph B5.7.1 of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, which provides that in case an entity has made an irrevocable election to recognise the changes in the fair value of an investment in an equity instrument not held for trading in OCI, it may subsequently transfer the cumulative amount of gains or loss within equity. Remaining 10% (i.e., ₹ 0.4 crore) related to the NCI are derecognised along with the balance of NCI and not reclassified to profit and loss.
- reclassify the foreign currency translation reserve of ₹ 7.2 crore (90% × ₹ 8 crore) attributable to the owners of the parent to statement of profit or loss as per paragraph 48 of Ind AS 21, The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates, which specifies that the cumulative amount of exchange differences relating to the foreign operation, recognised in OCI, shall be reclassified from equity to profit or loss on the disposal of foreign operation. This is reflected in the gain on disposal. Remaining 10% (i.e., ₹ 0.8 crore) relating to the NCI is included as part of the carrying amount of the NCI that is derecognised in calculating the gain or loss on the loss of control of subsidiary, but is not reclassified to profit or loss in pursuance of paragraph 48B of Ind AS 21, which provides that the cumulative exchange differences relating to that foreign operation attributed to NCI shall be derecognised on disposal of the foreign operation, but shall not be reclassified to profit or loss.

The impact of loss of control over BC Limited on the consolidated financial statements of AB Limited is summarised below:

(Rupees in crore)

Particular	Amount (Dr)	Amount (Cr)	PL Impact	RE Impact
Gain / Loss on Disposal on Investments				
Bank	56			
Non-controlling interest (Derecognised)	6			
Investment at FV (20% Retained)	16			
Gain on Disposal (PL) balancing figure		18	18	
De-recognition of total net assets of subsidiary	60			
Reclassification of FVTOCI reserve on debt instruments to profit or loss				
FVTOCI reserve on debt instruments (6 cr. x 90%)	5.4			
To Profit and loss	5.4	5.4		
Reclassification of net measurement loss reserve to profit or loss				

Reserve and Surplus	2.7			-2.7
To Net measurement loss reserve (FVTOCI) [(3 cr. x 90%)]		2.7		
Reclassification of FVTOCI reserve on equity instruments to retained earnings				
FVTOCI reserve on equity instruments (4 cr.x 90%)	3.6			
To Reserve and Surplus		3.6		3.6
Foreign currency translation reserve reclassified to profit or loss				
Foreign currency translation reserve (FVOCI) [8 cr. x 90%]	7.2			
To Profit and loss		7.2	7.2	
Total			30.6	0.9

IND AS 109

Question 7 - (RTP - Nov 2020)

An Indian entity, whose functional currency is rupees, purchases USD dominated bond at its fair value of USD 1,000. The bond carries stated interest @ 4.7% p.a. on its face value. The said interest is received at the year end. The bond has maturity period of 5 years and is redeemable at its face value of USD 1,250. The fair value of the bond at the end of year 1 is USD 1,060. The exchange rate on the date of transaction and at the end of year 1 are USD 1 = ₹ 40 and USD 1 = ₹ 45, respectively. The weighted average exchange rate for the year is 1 USD = ₹ 42.

The entity has determined that it is holding the bond as part of an investment portfolio whose objective is met both by holding the asset to collect contractual cash flows and selling the asset. The purchased USD bond is to be classified under the FVTOCI category.

The bond results in effective interest rate (EIR) of 10% p.a.

Calculate gain or loss to be recognised in Profit & Loss and Other Comprehensive Income for year 1. Also pass journal entry to recognise gain or loss on above. (Round off the figures to nearest rupees)

Solution:

Computation of amounts to be recognized in the P&L and OCI:

Particulars	USD	Exchange rate	₹
Cost of the bond	1,000	40	40,000
Interest accrued @ 10% p.a.	100	42	4,200
Interest received (USD 1,250 x 4.7%)	(59)	45	(2,655)
Amortized cost at year-end	1,041	45	46,845
Fair value at year end	1,060	45	47,700
Interest income to be recognized in P & L			4,200
Exchange gain on the principal amount [1,000 x (45 -40)]			5,000
Exchange gain on interest accrual [100 x (45 - 42)]			300
Total exchange gain/loss to be recognized in P&L			5,300
Fair value gain to be recognized in OCI [45 x (1,060 - 1,041)]			855

Journal entry to recognize gain/loss

Bond (₹ 47,700 – ₹ 40,000) Dr.	7,700	
Bank (Interest received) Dr.	2,655	
To Interest Income (P & L)		4,200
To Exchange gain (P & L)		5,300
To OCI (fair value gain)		855